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Project outline and objectives

In the project, seen as a continuation of the K&C Initiative activities performed in the previous Phases 1, 2 and 3, multi-temporal ALOS-1 PALSAR-1 and ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 data were used to further develop and validate methods for large-scale biomass and biomass change mapping.

Biomass maps covering all of Sweden have been derived using PALSAR-2 data for the year 2015 and PALSAR-1 data for the year 2010.

Each map was validated with inventory data from the Swedish National Forest Inventory (NFI) and compared with county statistics from the Swedish NFI.
To derive the PALSAR-1/2 biomass maps, a revised algorithm based on the Water Cloud Model was used (BIOMASAR algorithm).

By comparing the biomass maps of 2015 and 2010, both loss in biomass (i.e., clear-cuts, thinning cuttings, wind-thrown damages) and forest growth could be studied.

A study has been conducted using L-band (and P-band) data from the BioSAR 2007 and BioSAR 2010 BIOMASS campaigns at the test site Remningstorp in southern Sweden (Ivan Huuva et al.).
Project outline and objectives

Furthermore, change detection of the forest cover in terms of detecting and delineating clear-felled areas for all of Sweden will be performed using PALSAR-2 data from the years 2015 and 2016. The approach will be to use a similar methodology as developed in Phases 1 and 2.

The clear-felled areas derived from PALSAR-2 data will be compared to clear-cut maps from:

— i) the Swedish Forest Agency and
— ii) statistics from the Swedish NFI.

The above activities were not possible to perform due to lack of funding from the Swedish National Space Agency.
The project involved analysis of more than 28 million ha of boreal and hemi-boreal forests in Sweden.

The differences in weather conditions, topography, forest properties, time of acquisition, number of observations and incidence angle range made it especially important to develop a robust methodology for future operational use.

It is suggested that the project has advanced the knowledge towards an operational use of high-resolution L-band SAR data in forestry applications.

The methods and algorithms that were developed also aimed to demonstrate the large-scale forestry monitoring goals of the JAXA’s ALOS K&C Initiative.
Project area – Sweden
Project outline and objectives

The project supports the 4 K&C thematic drivers, i.e. Carbon Cycle Science, Climate Change, International Conventions, Environmental Conservation.
The L-band datasets

Slant range geometry, SAR backscatter, Fine Beam Dual mode path data
All data acquired over Sweden requested

ALOS-1 PALSAR-1 (2010 biomass map)
- 104 FBD image strips, spring-to-fall 2010
- 36 AUIG frames to fill a 1% gap, summer 2008 (closest date to 2010)

ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 (2015 biomass map)
- 218 FBD image strips,
- October 2014 – October 2017
- Finally, only the spring-to-fall data used (~50% of the data obtained)
Processing of ALOS-1/2 data

Standard pre-processing techniques

- Radiometric calibration
- Terrain geocoding
- Normalisation for pixel area
- Image tiling
The original Water Cloud Model expresses the forest backscatter as a function of canopy density ($\eta$), vegetation height ($h$) and two-way tree attenuation ($\alpha$)

$$\sigma_{for}^0 = 1 - \eta(1 - e^{-\alpha h})\sigma_{gr}^0 + \eta(1 - e^{-\alpha h})\sigma_{veg}^0$$

New: integrate allometry to express canopy density and height as function of “biomass”
Canopy density vs. height

- Canopy density (CD) and height metrics can be derived from ICESAT GLAS waveforms.
- Observations of CD and RH100 are correlated:
  \[ CD = 1 - e^{-qh} \]
- The slope of the exponential (coefficient q) depends on forest structural properties.
Height vs. biomass

- Experiment undertaken for Sweden thanks to the availability of NFI data
- Relating measured variables, i.e., tree height and stem volume
- Relationship explained by power-law function
  \[ h = (aV)^b \]
- Same function valid throughout the boreal zone, albeit different model parameters
Validating the WCM

- Reference: ALS map of Sweden (https://www.skogsstyrelsen.se/skogligagrunndata)
- Observations: ALOS PALSAR
  
  **Thick line:** “new” WCM (this study integrating allometry)
  
  **Dashed line:** “old” WCM (direct estimation of biomass, see Puliiainen et al., 1994)
  
  **Crosses:** Mean backscatter
  
  **Bars:** 5th-95th percentile
"Biomass" estimation procedure, including uncertainty

- Training of WCM was done for each ALOS-1/2 image → relating backscatter and stem volume on a tile-by-tile basis to account for spatial variability of backscatter

- Inversion of the WCM to estimate stem volume for each SAR image

- Weighted average of individual stem volume estimates (dynamic range)

- Conversion of stem volume to total carbon density
  - Total biomass density = (stem volume \( \times \) wood density) \( \times \) biomass expansion
  - Wood density based on Thurner et al. (2014) for broadleaves and conifers
  - Biomass expansion: models relating stem to biomass components (Thurner et al., 2014)
  - Total carbon density = 0.5 \( \times \) total biomass density
„Biomass“ estimation procedure, including uncertainty

- Modelling the uncertainty of the stem volume and total carbon density estimates
  - Models for the uncertainty of
    - the WCM parameters
    - the allometries
    - the SAR backscatter
  - Variance - covariance model for the multi-temporal stem volume
  - Models for the conversion of stem volume to total carbon density
• Correlation between observations implies error covariance. However, the multi-temporal combination of single-image reduces the standard error of the retrieval.
The size of NFI plots (10 m or 7 m radius) is comparable to the size of a pixel (25 m).

A plot, however, may not fall exactly within a pixel.

In addition, the forest represented in a plot does not match with the forest seen by the radar.

Plot vs. pixel assessment is appealing but bears complications that limit the understanding of the retrieval.

Plots are meant to derive statistics → it is more correct to assess the estimates of biomass by creating some sort of spatial averages.
Validation of stem volume estimates

- Comparing plot and pixel averages makes more sense (i.e., the “stand” concept)
- Here, averages computed at the level of tiles (30 km × 30 km)
- Overall the estimates of stem volume are unbiased
The slightly worse agreement for 2015 should be related to the patchiness in the ALOS-2 dataset compared to ALOS-1 (see presentations at KC23 and KC24)
L-band stem volume estimates of Sweden
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Biomass dynamics between 2010 and 2015

• Comparison done at 10 km to identify spatial trends
• At this scale, the uncertainty becomes negligible
# Sweden’s forest stem volume estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L-band</th>
<th>NFI (*)</th>
<th>FAO (**)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tot. stem volume (10^6 m^3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>3,002</td>
<td>2,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3,104</td>
<td>3,150</td>
<td>2,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area (km^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>254,595</td>
<td>223,790</td>
<td>280,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>254,683</td>
<td>226,560</td>
<td>280,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stem volume (m^3/ha)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- From L-band data, we estimated a 2.4% net increase between 2010 and 2015

## Sweden’s total carbon estimates (above- and belowground)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L-band</th>
<th>FAO (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tot. carbon (10^6 MgC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>1,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,098</td>
<td>1,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area (km^2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>254,595</td>
<td>280,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>254,683</td>
<td>280,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tot. carbon density (MgC /ha)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- From L-band data, we estimated a 6 MgC/y increase between 2010 and 2015

Conclusions

• With ALOS-1/2 L-band backscatter (FBD), we could estimate for the first time from remote sensing the magnitude of the carbon sink in Sweden.

• The estimates of biomass at pixel level bear significant uncertainty (~50%). However, aggregated values
  • used by carbon and climate models
  • used for national statistics
supposedly have negligible uncertainty and are unbiased

• Key to these results: the multi-temporal observations (unfrozen conditions). A single observation, as from a mosaic, would not guarantee unbiased estimates.
The following products are part of the deliverables:

- Biomass maps of Sweden for years 2010 and 2015.
- Clear-cut maps of Sweden for the time period 2015-2016.
- Biomass change map of Sweden for the time period 2010-2015.
- Ground-truth data from the test sites Remningstorp and Krycklan.
Publications


Publications (in the pipeline)

Santoro, M., Fransson, J.E.S. and Cartus, O., Quantifying the carbon pool of Sweden in 2010 and 2015 using spaceborne SAR observations, Carbon Balance and Management, to be submitted

Santoro, M., Fransson, J.E.S. and Cartus, O., Integrating SAR backscatter, ICESAT GLAS metrics and allometric functions towards an improved estimation of forest biomass, Remote Sensing of Environment, to be submitted

PALSAR/PALSAR-2 data access

Please list the PALSAR/PALSAR-2 data you have (1) requested and (2) obtained.

- All requested, all obtained

Have you had sufficient data to complete your research (according to your K&C agreement)?
If not, which key data sets are missing?

- YES, this was the ideal dataset to support biomass retrieval