# IPWG Cubesat/Smallsat WG

11th Workshop of the International Precipitation Working Group Tokyo, Japan 15-18 July 2024

# What are we trying to measure?

- Precipitation (rain and snow) at the surface (and through the atmosphere).
- Precipitation is spatially and temporally highly variable, and that variability is highly variable.
- Temporal and spatial scales are intrinsically embedded within the retrieval ability of any sensor/scheme.
- At-surface requires observations that are sensitive to near-surface precipitation (vis/IR not direct, HF MW ice, LF liquid)
- Instantaneous PMW retrievals are generally very good, as are long-term (monthly/annual) accumulations
- Gaps between observations and (sub-)daily accumulations are weaknesses.
- It is necessary to provide sufficient sampling to capture the variability.

# Why Cubesats/smallsats?

Precipitation is highly variable, both spatially and temporally.

- Instantaneous PMW retrievals are generally very good, as are long-term (monthly/annual) accumulations
- Gaps between observations and (sub-)daily accumulations are weaknesses.
- It is necessary to provide sufficient sampling to capture the variability.
- Need to provide more observations within cost limitations.

### **Considerations:**

- Data quality/calibration: *small Tb differences impacts precip/no-precip boundary more than higher intensities*
- Data characteristics and continuity: *do changes in the observations (frequency, resolution, etc) affect long-term precipitation records: are these commensurate with what is needed to be measured?*
- Data delivery: data latency & consistency of delivery

## **Recent satellite launches**

**30 June 2021:** *TROPICS-01 (pathfinder - near polar orbit)* 

January 2022: TEMPEST-H8 and COWVR begin science operations from ISS.

**08 May 2023: TROPICS-03/07** (low inclination orbit) **26 May 2023: TROPICS-05/06** (low inclination orbit)

**14 April 2023 and 12 June 2023: Tomorrow-R1 and Tomorrow-R2**: Ka precipitation radar (non-scanning)

## **TROPICS Millimeter-wave Sounder (TMS)**

30 June 2021: TROPICS-01 (pathfinder - near polar orbit) 08 May 2023: TROPICS-03/07 (low inclination orbit)

**26 May 2023: TROPICS-05/06** (low inclination orbit)

TMS	Central	ATMS	MHS	MWHS-2
Channel	frequency	Channel	Channel	Channel
1	91.655±1.4 GHz	88.2 GHz	89.0 GHz	89.0 GHz
2	114.50 GHz	-	-	118.75±5.0
3	115.95 GHz	-	-	118.75±3.0
4	116.65 GHz	-	-	118.75±2.5
5	117.25 GHz	-	-	118.75±1.1
6	117.80 GHz	-	-	118.75±0.8
7	118.24 GHz	-	-	118.75±0.3
8	118.58 GHz	-	-	118.75±0.2
9	184.41 GHz	183.31±1.0	183.31±1.0	183±1.0
10	186.51 GHz	183.31±3.0	183.31±3.0	183±3.0
11	190.31 GHz	183.31±7.0	190.31	183±7.0
12	204.8 GHz	-	-	-

1U Payload: rotating ultra-compact W/F/Gband microwave radiometer, 83 mm aperture

2 Bus: BCT XB-1: • S-band radio • ADCS: sun sensor(s), star camera, reaction wheels,

torque rods



#### TROPICS 205 GHz imagery of Hurricane Idalia, 29/30 August 2023



### **TROPICS data availability – Level 1B**



## International Space Station STP-H8 mission

**Two instruments** attached to the International Space Station (ISS):

- **Compact Ocean Wind Vector Radiometer (COWVR)** fore/aft conical imaging at three frequencies (18.7, 23.8, and 33.9 GHz) with six (full) polarizations for each frequency.
- **Temporal Experiment for Storms and Tropical Systems (TEMPEST)** cross-track scanning at five frequencies (89, 166, 176, 180, and 182 GHz).

COWVR



## **Tomorrow-R1/R2 Pathfinder Radars**



## What is being measured? imager vs sounder precipitation



High-frequency PMW retrievals tend to be more similar to the cloud-top Thermal IR



# **Spatial resolution**

- Spatial resolution and temporal sampling characteristics of precipitation are directly related: i.e. increasing spatial resolution required increased temporal sampling.
- Accuracy of retrievals is related to the spatial resolution (coarser resolutions perform 'better'
- Cubesats (TROPICS) have poorer spatial resolution – in part this explains 'similar' performance to finer resolution retrievals.
- Resolutions need to be commensurate with what needs to be measured.
- Statistics should to be provided at a standard resolution



## **HF channels provide more PMW Observations**





#### **12:00 to 13:00 05-Jul-2023** *Higher frequency channels (sensitive to ice) are better sampled than the lower frequency (liquid) channels*













# Can geostationary Vis/IR data be used to enhance resolution of Cubesat sounder precipitation retrievals?

#### Why TROPICS?

The Tomorrow.io microwave sounder will be derived from the TROPICS Cubesat microwave sounder.

We used TROPICS Pathfinder observations to develop a training database for a convolutional neural network based on the HydroNN framework to explore the accuracy of precipitation retrievals from the forthcoming Tomorrow.io microwave sounders.

Key question: Given the improvement in geostationary precipitation retrievals aided by machine learning, how much value do microwave sounders add?

We wanted to perform a fair assessment using the same retrieval method, training data, and reference data. TROPICS channels





## Training Data

- TROPICS Pathfinder (3 mo./~1000 scenes)
- MRMS Precipitation Rate (filtered by RQI)
- GOES-16

#### Model Configurations

- TROPICS-only
- GOES-16-only
- TROPICS+GOES-16

#### **MRMS** Precipitation



Can geostationary Vis/IR data be used to enhance resolution of Cubesat sounder precipitation retrievals?

GOES retrieval can't detect most intense precipitation. TROPICS and TROPICS + GOES accurately identify convective regions.



MRMS



Can geostationary Vis/IR data be used to enhance resolution of Cubesat sounder precipitation retrievals? 2022-08-05T20:46:00 TROPICS only

TROPICS retrieval can't detect small, isolated cells. GOES and TROPICS + GOES sense smaller storms.





TROPICS\_GOES







**Simplifying spaceborne systems increases the complexity** of processing the data received, interpreting the observations made, and potentially negatively impacting the resulting geophysical retrievals.

#### Diverse observations add additional degrees of complexity,

particularly if observations are sampled at > 1/*e* of the temporal/spatial variability of precipitation (ca. 15 mins @ 25 km, or 5 mins @ 5 km).

class	Sensor examples	freq (GHz) or wavelength	scan style	cal/val results	retrieval directness	co-located footprints	spatial resolution	temporal interval
1	GMI/AMSR	10-183	Conical	excellent	very good	good	good	poor
2	SSMIS, WSF-M	18-183/10-89	Conical	very good	good	good	good	poor
3	ATMS, MHS	23-183/89-183	X-track	excellent	good/poor	excellent	good-poor	poor
4	small/cubesats	89-204	X-track	varies	poor	good/poor	poor	good*
5	IR sensors	3 - 14 μm	X-track	very good	poor	excellent	excellent	excellent
6	Visible sensors	0.6 - 0.9 μm	X-track	very good	poor	excellent	excellent	good*
	* with caveats							aveats

## **Cubesat-GMI comparison**

Parameter	TROPICS	GMI	
Frequencies	4	7	Number of distinct frequencies
Freq. diversity	92-205	10-183	Lowest to highest frequencies
Polarizations	none	V/H (most)	GMI dual polarized at 10,19,37,91,166
Resolution @ 91 GHz	50.7x50.7 km	3x5 km	
Resolution @ 183 GHz	26x26 km	3x5 km	
Lifetime	2.5 years	ca. 17 years	TROPICS: based upon T01; GMI 10+years
Latency	45 mins	5-10 mins	Observation to availability
Data availability	63.64%	96.51%	Jul-Oct 2023: TROPICS T01/T03/T06 valid data; GMI valid data
Samples/day	3.5 M	10.1 M	
Launch-1st data (days)	35/19/23/24/13	5	
Cost/observation	0.008c/obs	0.003c/obs	\$20M TROPICS, \$200M GMI (100% duty cycle)

## **Commercialisation...**

- "could be a good partner for us " in the context of "Life after GPM"
- what are their plans for delivering free, open-access, wide-frequency range (10-183 GHz), good (spatial) resolution observations with low latency?
- Can commercial entities provide what we need (yet alone, what we want?).
- Are they prepared to 'finance' less-profitable (or loss-making) observations?
- How will they deal with the general 'free, open access data policies'?
- Data quality, availability (latency/uptime)?

Environmental consequences... (more satellites=more debris/burn-ups=SPF1000?)

# (Inter)calibration needs for Cubesat/Smallsat constellations

Draft Recommendation 1: A sensor's calibration should be stable on the timescale between external reference opportunities

Draft Recommendation 2: Each constellation with multiple copies of a given sensor should have an intercalibrated product that, for level 2 algorithms, is indistinguishable for each satellite in the constellation. This entails:

- Bias correction against an independent, well-calibrated reference
- Conversion of slightly differing passbands/incidence angles
- Regridding/Remapping to common geolocation

This could also be applied to large-sat sensor families (SSMIS, ATMS, MHS).

The benefit of this approach is that downstream algorithms do not need to be tailored for every sensor in a constellation.



## **TMS Instrument: Spectral Response and Weighting Functions**





- W- and G- band channels are Direct Detect
- F-band channels processed with Digital IFP, which uses PMMC ASIC spectrometer re-combined to 7 channels