# All-sky assimilation of MHS in the Korea Integrated Model (KIM) system



www.kiaps.org

Sihye Lee (sh.lee@kiaps.org), Hyoung-Wook Chun, and Jeon-Ho Kang Korea Institute of Atmospheric Prediction Systems (KIAPS), Seoul, South Korea

## Introduction

- All-sky microwave radiance assimilation system has been developed in the Korea Integrated Model (KIM) analysis system. A system development methodology for assimilating cloud and precipitation-affected satellite radiances has been developed in accordance with the ECMWF approach (Geer et al., 2014). There is no cloud or precipitation control variable, but in the minimization, cloud and precipitation are diagnosed from the dynamical and humidity fields every time-step including the first.
- In this study, the clear-sky and all-sky contributions to the assimilation of Microwave Humidity Sounder (MHS; channel frequencies around the 183 GHz) are separated. Especially, we try to understand the processes that raises to large errors under cloudy conditions.



- control variables: stream function, unbalanced temperature and surface pressure,

unbalanced velocity potential, pseudo-RH

- observation: SONDE, SURFACE, AIRCRAFT, GPS-RO, AMSU-A, ATMS, MHS (land masking),

SAPHIR, IASI, CrIS, CSR, AMV, Scatwind, TC Bogus, SSMIS

**cc** : nlevels of cloud cover (0-1) **cldrad** : cloud fraction at radiation module (0-1) **clw** : nlevels of cloud liquid water (kg/kg) **qcmps + qccps** : cloud liquid water (kg/kg) **ciw** : nlevels of cloud ice water (kg/kg) **qimps + qicps** : cloud ice content (kg/kg) **rain** : nlevels of rain (kg/kg) **qrmps** : rain water content (kg/kg) **sp** : nlevels of solid precipitation (kg/kg) **qsmps** : snow content (kg/kg) \* snapshot으로 출력

## All-sky assimilation of MHS in the KIM



Observed TB vs. Simulated TB (MHS ch05 @ 2018070206 UTC)

In the clear-sky samples, there is a strong correlation between the observations and model ( $r^2 = 0.93$ ), compared to that of cloudy samples (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.81).

Although global distributions of water vapor are well represented in the simulated brightness temperature (TB), the hydrometer in the Tropical and midlatitude regions appears to be worse.



### Scattering index



Observation error for all-sky assimilation



Scattering Index (SI) =  $(TB_{89GHz} TB_{150GHz}$ ) - (-39.2010 + 0.1104 $\theta$ ),  $\theta$  is zenith angle Doherty et al. (2012)

Simply, SI can detect the scattering signal from heavy cloud or precipitation over ocean.

\* Subgrid representation with effective

•  $TB_{total} = C \times TB_{cloudy} + (1-C) \times TB_{clear}$ 

•  $TB_{total} - TB_{clear} = C (TB_{cloudy} - TB_{clear})$ 

cloud fraction (C):

Hydrometer effect

TBs at 183 GHz are strongly depressed by scattering from cloud, precipitation and ice particles.



✤ Single observation test (16.2115°N, 69.4769°E)





- SI is used to examine the errors in First Guess (FG) departures as a function of cloud and precipitation.

- Generally, observation errors are determined by FG departures of more than three times standard deviation.

- Increased errors in cloudy areas to reflect the difficulty of modeling and increased dynamic range of TBs in cloudy conditions (Geer and Bauer, 2011).

#### ✤ Single cycle experiment (2018070206 UTC)

- Control (CTL) : semi-real time operational KIM v3.2
- Experiment (EXP) : same as CTL but for all-sky assimilated MHS
- Verification: ECMWF IFS analysis from 1°x1°



#### Q Analysis Increment at 850 hPa

Ave=0.000018, Min=-0.00089, Max=0.00109







The peak of humidity Jacobian at cloudy pixels are closely matched of that of cloud ice water (ciw). However, the magnitude of cloud liquid water (clw) Jacobian is larger than that of ciw.



 $\rightarrow$  After HybDA, both experiments show very similar results (e.g., increments of temperature and humidity) (not shown)



- All-sky assimilation framework at KIM has been implemented with microwave-sounding radiances from MHS. The observation operator for all-sky assimilation is RTTOV-SCATT v11.3.
- The agreement between FG and observations in clear-sky is good. However, cloudy samples with the ice or water clouds show worse correlations, with large observation errors.
- Preliminary results of all-sky assimilated MHS are not remarkable. Hopefully, this observation gives significant improvements to dynamical forecast scores along with benefits to humidity fields.

9<sup>th</sup> Workshop of International Precipitation Working Group, 5-9 November, Seoul, South Korea