

THE PRECIPITATION PRODUCT VALIDATION SERVICE DEFINED DURING THE DEVELOPMENT PHASE OF THE HYDROLOGICAL SAF

Silvia Puca 1, Eszter Labo 2, Bozena Lapeta 3, Ján Kanák 4, Peer Helmke 5 Ahmet Öztopal ⁶, Federico Porcu' ⁷, Emmanuel Roulin ⁸, Ibrahim Sonmez⁹



¹Italian Civil Protection Department, <u>silvia.puca@protezionecivile.it</u> ² OMSZ-Hungarian Meteorological Service ³ Satellite Research Department, Institute of Meteorology and Water Management, ⁴ Slovak Hydrometeorological Institute, ⁵ Federal Institute of Hydrology (BfG), ⁶ Istanbul Technical University, Meteorology Department, ⁷ Universita' di Ferrara - Dipartimento di Fisica, ⁸ Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium, ⁹ Turkish State Meteorological Service

Abstract

The development phase (2005- 2010) of the Hydrological Satellite Application Facilities (H-SAF) was closed the 31st of August of this year. Several precipitation products with different time and spatial resolution have been developed into the H-SAF project during that period. The precipitation retrieval methods developed use geostationary and polar satellite data with different instruments as AMSU-MHS, SSMI-SSMIS and SEVIRI. During the development phase a Precipitation Product Validation (PPV) Service has been realized in order to give support to end-users and precipitation product developers. The H-SAF PPV group is composed by experts from the National Meteorological and Hydrological Institutes of Belgium, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Stovakia and Turkey. Hydrologists, meteorologists and radar and rain gauge experts are involved in this activity. The validation activity consists of a <u>COMMON METHODOLOGY</u> and <u>CASE STUDIES AMAVISIS</u>. The common validation methodology is based on comparison of satellite product with rain gauges and radar data. The error is calculated on multi categorical and continuous statistics evaluated on daily and seasonal bases. Coast/ sea/ land classification is considered in the common validation methodology has developed a specific validation to the decided whether to introduce use of lighting data, numerical weather prediction and nowcasting products for the analysis of specific case studies. The results obtained were discussed inside the validation group and with product developers by email and annual meeting, reported in the project documents and published in the H-SAF web page section dedicated to the validation.

Ground data: radar and rain gauge networks

Precipitation Product Validation Group									
Precipitation products validation group Leader: Italy (DPC)									
Belgium IRM	Germany BIG OMSZ UniFe IM	and S MM	Slovakia SHMÚ ITU						
Silvia Puca (Leader)	Dipartimento Protezione Civile (DPC)	Italy	silvia.puca@protezionecivile.it						
Emanuela Campione	Dipartimento Protezione Civile (DPC)	Italy	emanuela.campione@protezion ecivile.it						
Emmanuel Roulin	Institut Royal Météorologique (IRM)	Belgium	emmanuel.roulin@oma.be						
Angelo Rinollo	Institut Royal Météorologique (IRM)	Belgium	angelo.rinollo@oma.be						
Thomas Maurer	Bundesanstalt für Gewässerkunde (BfG)	Germany	thomas.maurer@bafg.de						
Peer Helmke	Bundesanstalt für Gewässerkunde (BfG)	Germany	helmke@balg.de						
Eszter Lábó	Hungarian Meteorological Service (OMSZ)	Hungary	labo.e@mel.hu						
Federico Porcu'	Ferrara University, Department of Physics (UniFe)	Italy	porcu@fe.infn.it						
Bozena Lapeta	Institute of Meteorology and Water Management (IMWM)	Poland	bozena.lapeta@imgw.pl						
lán Kañák	Slovenský Hydrometeorologický Ústav (SHMÚ)	Slovakia	jan.kanak@shmu.sk						
uboslav Okon	Slovenský Hydrometeorologický Ústav (SHMÚ)	Slovakia	luboslav.okon@shmu.sk						
Ahmet Oztopal	Istanbul Technical University (ITU)	Turkey	Turkey oztopal@itu.edu.tr						
brahim Sonmez	Turkish State Meteorological Service (TSMS)	Turkey	isonmez@meteor.gov.tr						

	Con and	H-saf Precipitation Products	
Code	Acronym	Product name	Responsible of algorithm
H-01	PR-OBS-1	Precipitation rate at ground by MW conical scanners (with indication of phase)	Italy, CNR-ISAC
H-02	PR-OBS-2	Precipitation rate at ground by MW cross-track scanners (with indication of phase)	Italy, CNR-ISAC
H-03	PR-OBS-3	Precipitation rate at ground by GEO/IR supported by LEO/MW	Italy, CNR-ISAC
H-04	PR-OBS-4	Precipitation rate at ground by LEO/MW supported by GEO/IR (with flag for phase)	Italy, CNR-ISAC
H-05	PR-OBS-5	Accumulated precipitation at ground by MW+IR and MW only	Italy, CNMCA
H-06	PR-ASS-1	Instantaneous and accumulated precipitation at ground computed by a NWP model	Italy, CNMCA

Common Validation activity

Methodology

PPV <u>Rain gauge</u> network is composed by 4100 telemetric stations:

Instrument characteristics

time domain

time resolution

batial distribution

mber of station

time domain

time resolution

patial distributio

umber of statior

research only

0

PPV Radar network: 42 C-band and 1 Ka-band:

0

raingauges

Telemetric

Near real time

5,10, 15, 30 mir

whole nationa

territory

-4100

radars

C-band, Ka band,

near real time

5, 15, 30, 1h, 24h

Whole nationa

42 C band +1 Ka

band

Operational

Permanent ground clutter monitoring of electronic calibration

Perma

ODUCT NAME: PR-OBS-03v1.2

JDY PERIOD: 2 15:25 UTC and

ALIDATION INSTITUTI MSZ-Hungarian

RODUCT DEVELOPE STITUTE:CNR- ISAG

STITUTE:CNMCA

High-pressured area prevails from Great Britain to the Black Sea, almost no clouds there. But the weather in the Carpathian Basin is influence

PERATION

garian cal Service

April 2009

ETEO

cesponsibl

iola S., Cattar

auli F. Melfi D

o the C

To produce a large statistical analysis of the H-SAF Precipitation Products was necessary to define a 'common validation methodology'. This is based on:

· the 'ground truth' is represented by radar and rain gauge data; · the precipitation products are evaluated on the satellite native grid pixel by pixel comparison;

• multi category and continuous statistics are monthly evaluated;

In order to make comparable the results obtained by several institutes and to better understand their meanings it was necessary:

· introduction of quality filter

 standardization of the up-scaling techniques of ground data vs satellite native grid

-		1 .05
The radar and rain gauge data were up-scaled taking into account the satellite scanning geometry and IFOV resolution of AMSULE scan SSMI and SEVIRI	1	A
		-

 development and sh Two codes were develop AMSU-B and SSMI IFOV Ind sharing of software packages: eveloped by the validation group for upscaling ground data vs

All institutes involved in PP validation activity use these two codes developed in collaboration by University of Ferrara and RML with PP developers.



Precipitation classes:

CLASS	RAIN RATE (RR) PRODUCTS		CLASS	CUMULATED RAIN (CR) PRODUCTS
Class 1	RR <0.5 mm/h		Class 1	CR < 1 mm
Class 2	0 Emm/b < BB < Emm/b		Class 2	1 mm< CR < 20 mm
Ciass 2	ISS 2 0.5 IIIII/II < RR < 5 IIIII/II		Class 3	20 mm< CR < 50 mm
Class 3	5 mm/h < RR < 10 mm/h		Class 4	50 mm < CR < 100 mm
Class 4	is 4 10 mm/h < RR		Class 5	100 mm< CR < 150 mm
			Class 6	150 mm < CR

The statistical scores monthly, seasonal and yearly evaluated for LAND and COAST area:

BELGIUM -RMI	GERMANY -BFG	HUNGARY -HMS	ITALY -Uni. Fe	POLAND -IMWM	SLOVA SHMU	KIA	TURKE -ITU, 1	ey FSMS	DPC TOTAL		
+	+	+	+	+		ŧ	-	•	+		
MC stati	MC statistic: CS statistic:										
 ACC 	 ACCURACY Number of points 								Multiplicative bias		
 POE 	 • observed Mean rain (rate or cumulated) 						Moon absolute error				
•FAR	•FAR • Satellite Mean rain (rate or cumulated)						Root mean square error correlation coefficient Standard doviation				
•BIA	5 • Ob:	 Observed Maximum rain (rate or cumulated) Satellite Maximum rain (rate or cumulated) 									
 ETS 	 Sat 										
•OR	• Me	an error				•	Stanua	i u ue	viation		

•HSS





cesco.dipaola rtov.isac.cnr.i

1 de



Each Institute, in addition to the common validation Each institute, in addition to the common Valuation methodology, developed a more <u>specific</u> <u>Validation Methodology</u> based on the knowledge and experience of the Institute itself. This activity is focused on case studies analysis. Each institute decides whether to use ancillary data such as lightning data, SEVIRI images, the output of numerical weather prediction and nowcasting

The main steps are:

products.

description of the meteorological event; comparison of ground data and satellite products;

visualization of ancillary data deduced by nowcasting products or lightning network; discussion of the satellite product performances; .

Indications to Developers

Some examples are here reported

Work done

- Common validation methodology has been defined: quality filter, up-scaling technique, comparison method, precipitation classes, multi-category and continuous statistical scores, file format output (numerical and piots); Common and Institute (Case Studies) methodologies have been applied on data set January 2008 March 2010 for all precipitation products developed by the project:
- All the validation results have been discussed in the annual meeting with developers; reported in Project documents; reviewed by EUMETSAT published in H-saf web page

Case studies analysis



mgary, probably due to the very high-level clouds in the SAFNWC pe image. It is the same for the 15:25 UTC and 16:25 UTC image, 16:25 UTC the intensity is diversified.

shape of the white cloud in Cloud Type image and the yellow ensity is the same, but H03 gives it more to the North! It is a ral fea

Developers on of the H03 product should be revisited in order to tell why it is red to the CT product. re very well recognized in this case, probably due to the scattered The localiz



ODUCT NAME

the Tunis Gulf and

PR-OBS-02v2.2

ctivity



DATA/PRODUCTS USED : aingauges network (provided by DPC), Ancillary data (used for sis): SEVIRI images (courtesy of University of Dundee – NEODAAS)Weather charts (courtesy of Wetterzentrale, NCEP and METOFFICE SULTS OF COMPARISON

RESULTS OF COMPARISON This is a popular frontal structure ipination with entimenage bootty pook of about 25 mmb1, reduced to about 18 mmb1 by spatial averaging over the HOV size while M2 detects a precipitation maximum amound 9 mmb1. Statistical rains to rain analysis show very low PAR (around 0.03), with a indicating mayod inficulties of M20 codect this kind of indicating mayod inficulties of M20 codect this kind of indicating mayod inficulties of M20 codect this kind of wintertime, stratified and moderate precipitation. The multi category H8S shows low values around 0.12 confirming that M20 is unable to fully catch the structure of such precipitating systems at the TOV values. The fugures what we have a stration of the structure of such precipitating systems at the TOV value. To values at what we have a structure of the structure of such precipitating systems at the TOV value. To value structure what we have a structure of the structure of such precipitating systems at the TOV value. To value structure what we have a structure of the structure of such predictively how performances.



w performances, itation map of the 15:42 UTC overpass (right) sulated precipitation at 16:00 UTC (left), with diff The precipitation patterns estimated by h02 con warm front rain bands over northern Italy and Sicily.

1001 Tall to cate of very an an and the second s Developers Further studies are needed in order to better describe ipitation events: it seems the detection of low rainrates is not well technique. Moreover, a closer analysis on what is happened over are is succested.

Future work

6 H-saf + 4 NWC-saf precipitation products will be validated;

Alladated; The activities of Validation service will be: √OFF-LINE for accuracy evaluation: –Common validation; –Case studies;

 ✓NRT for QUALITY INDEX evaluation;
 •Web Page publication of NRT QI and OFF-LINE results.



aifted compared to the CT pro ainy areas are very well recog haracteristic of the rainy spots

- Variability with geographical area Variability with validation tool;

20

Variability Win Vancausan too,
 Variability with season;
 Variability with season;
 Variability with precipitation type (or intensity);
 Overall observation on compliance with User requirements;
 The common validation methodology adopted has allowed to obtain consistent performances across the various institutes especially for heavy (> 10 mm/h), and medium precipitation (1-10 mm/h).

<u>The "ground truth" does not exits</u>. This work is based on the "hydrologist truth" (end users) constituted mainly by rain gauge and then by radar data. The aim of the work has been to compare the satellite derived precipitation products with both rain gauge and radar data for different geographical areas, seasons, and precipitation intensities. Therefore probably the errors evaluated are the largest errors which can be associated to these satellite precipitation products.

DATA/PRODUCTS USED



No.

5=

analysis on