Characteristics of the TMPA and Input Data Sets

G.J. Huffman^{1,2}, R.F. Adler¹, D.B. Wolff^{1,2}, D.T. Bolvin^{1,2}, E.J. Nelkin^{1,2}

- 1: NASA/GSFC Laboratory for Atmospheres
- 2: Science Systems and Applications, Inc.

Outline

- 1. Design
- 2. The KWAJ Radar
- 3. Inter-satellite Calibration
- 4. 3B43 Comparison with GPCP and TCI
- 5. KWAJ Results
- 6. Future
- 7. Summary

1. DESIGN – Goals

- Use "all available" data
- **Traceable calibration**
- Minimal statistical changes in the face of changes in the sensor inventory
- Real Time (RT) consistent with research product (Version 6, or V.6)
- "Fine" scale 3 hr, 0.25°

2000	2002	2004	2006
			TMI,PR
			SSM/I F13
			SSM/I F14
			SSM/I F15
			SSMIS F16
			SSMIS F17
			AMSR-E
		Д	MSU-B N15
		AN	ISU-B N16
		AN	ISU-B N17
			MHS N18
		N	IHS MetOp ■►
		GPCP IR H	listograms
		СРС	Merged IR
	2000	2000 2002	2000 2002 2004

1. **DESIGN** – Implementation

Intercalibrate microwave sensors with "TRMM Best"

- TRMM Combined Instrument best vs. atolls over ocean in V.6
- TMI only choice in RT
- apply histogram matching (no constraint on pattern)
- Calibrate IR with combined microwave
- "colder clouds rain more" histogram matching
- no constraint on pattern
- not a great concept for instantaneous data
- use month-long match-ups for stability
- fall-back scheme for cold/frozen land and sea ice where microwave fails
- Combination implemented as IR values filling gaps in microwave coverage
- Many other schemes skew the statistics
- Data boundaries remain

[V.6 only] Rescale combination fields to the monthly Satellite-Gauge combination

- Reproduce large-area bias of wind-corrected gauge analysis
- Minimal dependence on gauge analysis where gauges are absent

2. THE KWAJ RADAR

TRMM has provided upgrades to the 10-cm weather radar on the U.S. Army base at Kwajalein Island, Republic of the Marshall Islands, and collected data since 1999 for validation

- essentially the only long record of research-grade radar over tropical ocean
- multiple attempts to solve problems in the data record
- The Relative Calibration Adjustment appears solid
- accounts for both gain and pointing angle changes
- based on daily and hourly 95th percentile signal from fixed list of ground clutter targets
- Radar precipitation estimates are gaugecalibrated
- only use data within 100 km to minimize range effects
- gauges not wind-corrected

10°N 9°N QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture. 8°N 167°E 168°E 169°E

3. INTER-SATELLITE CALIBRATION

Satellites require intercalibration to avoid bias jumps as the inventory of input data changes

- done in TMPA by histogram matching
- AMSR and SSM/I are close to TMI, so calibrated histogram nearly identical



3. INTER-SATELLITE CALIBRATION (cont.)

- (NESDIS) AMSU is less wellbehaved
- spikes in original (red) due to fixed "indeterminate" values
- low sensitivity to light rain
- Calibration (blue) performs as best it can
- higher spike moves up
- lower spike moves down
- no values just below peaks until CDF "catches up"
- Remaining issue: fractional coverage by rain
- monthly fraction of boxes with rain, ocean 35°N-S

TMI	86%	AMSR	84%
SSMI	81%	AMSU	75%

- shortfall in AMSU shows up as gap in light rain
- improved over previous version



4. 3B43 COMPARISON TO GPCP AND TCI

V.6 3B43 differs from GPCP

TCI calibrator for tropical ocean has less interannual variability than GPCP

The average 3B43 tracks with the TCI, but:

A negative bias develops in 2000 due to the start of <u>NESDIS AMSU-B</u> estimates

- the issue is <u>light precip</u> detection over <u>ocean</u>
- Aug. 2003 algorithm has a bigger detection bias
- June 2007 algorithm has smaller detection bias
- AMSU-B reprocessed before June 2007, but 3B43 hasn't been



Ocean Time Series

1998-2007; 30N-30S

5. KWAJ RESULTS – 0.25° 3-hourly

Fine-scale test over ocean with area-average validation

GV Mean [mm/hr]

- each year of 2000-2006, except 2004
- better than typical <u>daily</u> results over land no high TMPA outliers at low validation
 - GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2000 Water GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2001 Water GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2002 Water already hints y = 0.64x + 0.05, R=0.57y = 0.75x + 0.03, R=0.586 y = 0.76x + 0.05, R=0.652 of 1:1 con-GVa (0.24,0.37) GVa (0.21,0.34) GVa (0.24,0.36) MPA (0.20,1.40) MPA (0.19,1.60) MPA (0.23,1.57) 30 30 30 vergence MPA Mean [mm/hr] [ոո/հլ] note inter-Mean annual A MPA variation N=98555 N=109739 N=107046 30 40 Û 10 30 40 10 20 20 Û 10 20 30 GV Mean [mm/hr] GV Mean [mm/hr] GV Mean [mm/hr] GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2003 Water GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2005 Water GVa vs MPA KWAJ/2006 Water y = 0.71x + 0.02, R=0.664 y = 0.56x + 0.02, R=0.59 y = 0.71x + 0.03, R=0.697 GVa (0.24,0.38) GVa (0.14,0.25) GVa (0.27,0.41) MPA (0.19,1.58) MPA (0.10,1.15) MPA (0.22,1.50) 30 30 30 APA Mean [mm/hr] [ոո/հւ] MPA Mean [mm/hr 20 ٩PA N=52858 N=108069; N=81148 30 30 10 10 20 40 Û. 10 20 40 Û 20 30

GV Mean [mm/hr]

GV Mean [mm/hr]

5. KWAJ RESULTS - 100 km, 3 hour

2002 repeated No averaging for satellite; average of 15-min data from radar



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, 3 hour

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Correlation

3h0.84Daily0.895-Daily0.901-Daily0.91Monthly0.92Seasonal0.94

- Bias seems relatively constant
- **RMS** decreases steadily
- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, 1 day

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Correlation

3h0.84Daily0.895-Daily0.9010-Daily0.91Monthly0.92Seasonal0.94

- Bias seems relatively constant
- RMS decreases steadily
- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, 5 day

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Correlation
 - 3h0.84Daily0.895-Daily0.9010-Daily0.91Monthly0.92Seasonal0.94
- Bias seems relatively constant
- RMS decreases steadily
- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS - 100 km, 10 day

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration KWAJ 2000-2006 10-Daily Average Rain Rates [mm hr⁻¹]

Correlation

3h0.84Daily0.895-Daily0.9010-Daily0.91Monthly0.92Seasonal0.94

Bias seems relatively constant

RMS decreases steadily

- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, monthly

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Correlation 3h 0.84 Daily 0.89
 - 5-Daily 0.90
 - 1-Daily 0.91 Monthly 0.92
 - Seasonal 0.94
- Bias seems relatively constant
- **RMS** decreases steadily
- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, seasonal

Average over 100-km radius (to avoid radar range effects)

- computed from 3-hr accumulations
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Correlation
 - 3h0.84Daily0.895-Daily0.901-Daily0.91Monthly0.92Seasonal0.94
- Bias seems relatively constant
- RMS decreases steadily
- distribution pulls in toward the 1:1



5. KWAJ RESULTS – 100 km, Seasonal Bias

Comparative rain amounts for individual seasons

- generally a low bias
- DJF 2005 suffers from low radar sampling
- generally largest error in SON
- generally best error in MAM



6. FUTURE

Upgraded TMPA-RT is in beta test

- adding MHS (NOAA18 and MetOp)
- RT calibrated to V.6 with trailing month calibration
- Future improvements
- recalibrated F15 SSM/I
- F16 (although issues) and later SSMIS
- reprocessed AMSU-B record in V.7 TMPA (or sooner?)
- "Early" RT run
- extension to high latitudes for both estimates and validation
- shift from SGI Unix to Linux platform

7. SUMMARY

Design and implementation choices are reflected in the results

- the histogram of fine-scale values and large-scale bias approximately match the calibrating sources
- where this isn't true, it traces to limitations in one of the input data sets
- pattern differences among estimators are carried into the final product
- KWAJ radar seems ready to provide validation studies for tropical ocean
- Future improvements
- Additional sensors
- RT calibration to V.6
- AMSU-B revision
- "Early" RT run
- extension to high latitudes
- Discussion
- Definitive AMSR-E status site
- In-line or near-line quality control for precip products

george.j.huffman@nasa.gov http://precip.gsfc.nasa.gov

AMSR-E Command Error

"Anomalous data was caused by a command error in the process for SPC error recovery" (JAXA). The 89 and 36 GHz channels were affected for

01:15 Nov. 27 – 03:15 Nov. 29 2007 UTC

Errors were obvious over open water, but seem likely over land

A significant number of algorithms posted bad results before we caught the problem

TMPA-RT was ~36 hr

Notes

- what is the definitive AMSR-E status site?
- in-line or near-line QC is helpful
- reprocessing must be possible, even for real-time data







J. Hawkins NRL/Monterey from NRLTC site

2 - 5



3. INTER-SATELLITE CALIBRATION (cont.)

TCI is used to calibrate Version 6, TMI the RT

The TCI performs better than the TMI

- TMI histogram (dash-dot) has a peak around 2 mm/hr
- TMI (+) tends to bias low at the higher rain rates

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture. QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

5. KWAJ – Accumulated vs. Instantaneous Rates

Single radar shots at the nominal satellite times give significantly less light precip, but differences are small above about 2.5 mm/hr

