## Inter-CSE Transferability Study (ICTS) B. Rockel, J. Roads, I. Meinke, W. J. Gutowski

Study the transferability of regional climate models over different CSE's (i.e. different climate regimes)
Apply CEOP (Satellite, Reference sites, global analysis and model data) and other available observational data sets to validate the energy and water cycle in regional climate models
Assess the influence of different driving global reanalysis

## Why transferability studies?

- Different dynamical and physical processes in different regions of the globe.
- Various regional models have been developed, each for a specific domain.
- Regional simulation of water- & energy budget is sensitive to representation of regional physical processes.
- Comparison to CEOP data should help to improve regional and eventually global model predictions.
- Act regionally, think globally!

## Model areas (transformed)



## Long term simulations July 1999 - Dec 2004



## Regional climate models involved so far

CLM (Climate version of the "Lokalmodel") / GKSS, BALTEX

non-hydrostatic, grid-point atmospheric model
RSM (Regional Spectral Model) / ECPC, GAPP

hydrostatic, spectral atmospheric regional model

RegCM3 (Regional Climate Model) / ISU, GAPP

hydrostatic, grid-point atmospheric model

Additional contributions, especially those from other CSEs, would be most welcome!

## Model runs and validation data

- Regional simulation:
- Horizontal resolution:

~50 km

- Forcing:
- Simulation period:

NCEP, planned: ECMWF, JMA

July 1999 to December 2004

First 2 years are for spin up, esp. equilibration of the soil moisture.

Model set up (physics / numeric) are the same over all domains.

<u>Validation data:</u> CEOP reference site and satellite data GPCC data (Global Precipitation Climatological Centre) ISCCP data (International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project)



GREEN = done YELLOW = in progress

### **Information on Simulation Progress**

#### Simulation 1 (constant model set up)

# MAGS GAPP BALTEX LBA/LPB AMMA GAME MDB CLM Image: Classic structure Image: Classic structure

#### Status of model runs

#### Status of archiving

Conversion to common grid and format

	MAGS			GAPP				BALTEX				LBA/LPB				AMMA				GAME				MDB											
CLM																																			
RSM																																			
RegCM3																																			
Year	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04

Sent to CEOP data model archive

	MAGS				GAPP			BALTEX			LBA/LPB					AMMA				GAME				MDB											
CLM																																			
RSM																																			
RegCM3																																			
Year	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04	00	01	02	03	04

## CEOP 1 RefSites (not all listed)

Site	PS	Т	TD	RELHUM	QV	VABS	VDIR	U	V	TOT_PREC	W_S	SHFL	LHFL	SOBD	SOBU	THBD	THBU	NETRAD	T_SKIN
Cabauw																			
Lindenberg																			
China Sea																			
Himalaya																			
Mongolia																			
Bondville																			
Ft. Peck																			
Pantanal																			
Rondonia																			
Berms																			

Green = available Yellow = partly available Red = not available

PS = surface pressure T = 2m temperature TD = 2m dew point RELHUM = 2m relative hunidity QV = 2m specific humidity VABS = 10m absolute wind speed VDIR = 10m wind direction U = 10m u-component of wind V = 10m v-component of wind TOT PREC = total precipitation W\_S = water equivalent of snow SHFL = sensible heat flux LHFL = latent heat flux SOBD = surface solar radiation downward SOBU = surface solar radiation upward THBD = surface terrestrial radiation downward THBU = surface terrestrial radiation upward NETRAD = surface net radiation T\_SKIN = surface skin temperature

# Observation vs. Model data (3) MDB (Jul-Sep 2001)



## Observation vs. Model data (3) EA/LPB (Jul-Sep 2001)



## Observations vs. Model (1)



## Lindenberg (CEOP1)



### **Relative Humidity during CEOP I (%)** Comparisons of RSM simulations with CEOP reference sites measurements



RSM – relative humidity shows good agreement with the CEOP reference site measurements, except Berms (MAGS) and Himalayas (GAME).

RSM - relative humidity is more often underestimated than overestimated.

## Outlook

2005

Finish long term simulations July 1999 -December 2004 with NCEP Global Re-Analysis as boundary data.

Compare to CEOP reference site, satellite and other global data and global analysis models for two year period 2003/2004 Fix regional model biases?

 Different global reanalyses as driving fields?
 Again, the participation of additional RCMs, especially those from other CSEs, would be very much appreciated